#### **Report of the Deputy Chief Executive**

# Statement of Accounts 2024/25 - Underlying Pension Assumptions

#### 1. Purpose of Report

To provide Members with information regarding the assumptions made by the Pension Fund Actuary in calculating the IAS19 figures to be reported in the 2024/25 Statement of Accounts.

#### 2. Recommendation

The Committee is asked to NOTE the assumptions to be used in the calculation of pension figures for 2024/25.

#### 3. Detail

IAS19 (International Accounting Standard 19 – Employee Benefits) is one of the financial reporting standards with which the Council must comply when producing its annual Statement of Accounts. The basic requirement of IAS19 is that an organisation should account for retirement benefits when it is committed to give them, irrespective of when they are paid out.

In order to calculate the cost of earned benefits for inclusion in the Statement of Accounts, the scheme actuaries use certain assumptions to reflect expected future events which may affect the cost. The assumptions used should lead to the best estimate of the future cash flows that will arise under the scheme liabilities. Any assumptions that are affected by economic conditions should reflect market expectations at the balance sheet date. The proposed assumptions for 2024/25 are shown in the **Appendix**.

The Council will use the calculated costs and underlying assumptions based upon the advice of the actuary of the Nottinghamshire County Council Pension Fund, Barnett Waddingham, and the administering authority (Nottinghamshire County Council) in preparing the annual Statement of Accounts. A formal actuarial valuation is carried out every three years, the latest being dated 31 March 2023. This covers the financial years 2023/24, 2024/25 and 2025/26. The Actuary's final report for 2024/25 is due to be received on 14 April 2025.

All the figures relating to IAS19 are simply accounting adjustments made to comply with accounting standards and have no direct impact on resources. The amount charged to the General Fund is the actual amount paid out in employers' contributions and not the charge calculated in accordance with IAS19.

There is an expectation that the Pension Fund for 2024/25 will be showing a surplus. If this should be the case, the asset shown in the balance sheet will be an estimate based on assumptions and would only ever become receivable if the Council ceased to be a going concern.

#### 4. Financial Implications

The comments from the Head of Finance Services were as follows:

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report, with estimated pensions costs already included within the establishment budgets.

#### 5. <u>Legal Implications</u>

The comments from the Monitoring Officer / Head of Legal Services were as follows:

The legislation, the Accounts and Audit Regulations (2015) and the Accounts and Audit (Amendment) Regulations 2022, sets out the timescales for the production of the Council's accounts, including the dates of the public inspection period. The Statement of Accounts must be published by that date or as soon as reasonably practicable after the receipt of the auditor's final findings.

Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 requires the Council to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers (the Deputy Chief Executive) has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs, which include responsibility for preparing the Council's statement of accounts in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom.

The Statement of Accounts is that upon which the auditor should enter his certificate and opinion which is prepared under the Local Government Finance Act 2003.

#### 6. Human Resources Implications

The were no comments from the Human Resources Manager.

#### 7. Union Comments

Not applicable.

#### 8. Climate Change Implications

There are no Climate Change issues in relation to this report.

# 9. <u>Data Protection Compliance Implications</u>

This report does not contain any OFFICIAL(SENSITIVE) information and there are no Data Protection issues in relation to this report.

## 10. Equality Impact Assessment

As there is no change to policy an equality impact assessment is not required.

## 11. Background Papers

Nil.

**Appendix** 

# **Proposed Financial Assumptions for 2024/25**

The value of the Pension Fund's assets and liabilities are heavily dependent on the underpinning assumptions. The employer is ultimately responsible for the assumptions used and this year's proposed assumptions are listed below:

- Corporate Bond Yields This is used to derive the discount rate which is applied to the employer's liabilities to calculate their future values. The rates used are those that match the duration of the employer's liability.
- Expected Return on Assets The actuaries anticipate that a typical Local Government Pension Fund might achieve a return of around 10% in the year to 31 March 2025 although this may vary depending on the individual fund's investment strategy.
- Inflation Expectations Levels of future Retail Prices Inflation (RPI) are assessed
  on the basis of the yields on fixed interest and index linked government securities
  over the period of the duration of the liabilities. The increases in pensions in the
  Local Government Pension Fund are based on the Consumer Prices Index (CPI)
  which historically is lower than RPI. The Actuary has assessed the gap between
  RPI and CPI going forward to be a reduction of between 0.20% and 0.75%
  dependent on the duration of the employer's liabilities.

The overall impact of the assumptions for an average employer is set out below but it should be noted that individual employer's circumstances vary, in particular the average age of their overall liabilities. As such, the results for Broxtowe may be different from the assessment below.

#### Changes in Actuary's Assumptions upon Employer's Liability from 2023/24

Assumption	Very Mature (Liability duration under 10 years)	Mature (Liability duration between 10 and 20 years)	Immature (Liability duration over 20 years)
Effect of change in discount rate on an employer's liability	Decrease of 1% to an increase of 4%	Decrease of 4% to 10%	Decrease of 10% to over 14%
Change in inflation on employer's liability	Neutral to an increase of 1%	Neutral to an increase of 2%	Neutral to an increase of 1%
Overall impact	Decrease of 1% to 4%	Decrease of 4% to 9%	Decrease of 9% to over 14%

The Council's liability duration, as at 31 March 2025, was 20 years.

#### Supreme Court Ruling in McCloud and Sargeant Cases

Two employment tribunal cases were brought against the Government in relation to possible discrimination in the implementation of transitional protection following the introduction of the reformed 2015 public service pension schemes from 1 April 2015. The first case (McCloud) and the second case (Sargeant) were subsequently linked and in June 2019 the Court of Appeal ruled the reforms amounted to unlawful discrimination.

Regulations in respect of these cases came into force on 1 October 2023. These may affect the value of liabilities and an allowance may need to be included. An allowance will have been made in the liabilities which is consistent with the method adopted at the last actuarial valuation.

#### Impact of Lloyds Judgement

The Lloyds Banking Group court case involved a ruling that, in cases where a member exercised their right to a transfer value out of the scheme, the trustee had the duty to make a transfer payment that reflects the member's right to equalised benefits and remains liable if an inadequate transfer payment had been paid. It is not yet known if, or how, this will affect the Local Government Pension Scheme.

#### Virgin Media case

Where the rules of a contracted-out defined benefit scheme were amended, the Scheme Actuary would provide a 'section 37' confirmation that the scheme continues to meet the contracting-out requirements. The original court case in June 2023 decided that certain rule amendments were invalid in absence of the actuarial certification (potentially including cases where such a confirmation cannot now be located). The Actuary's view is that, at this point in time, there remains insufficient information to assess the potential impact.